



# HerbClip™

Laura Bystrom, PhD  
Amy Keller, PhD

Mariann Garner-Wizard  
Cheryl McCutchan, PhD

Shari Henson  
Heather S Oliff, PhD

*Executive Editor* – Mark Blumenthal

*Managing Editor* – Lori Glenn

*Consulting Editors* – Dennis Awang, PhD, Thomas Brendler, Francis Brinker, ND, Allison McCutcheon, PhD, Risa Schulman, PhD

*Assistant Editor* – Tamarind Reaves

AMERICAN  
BOTANICAL  
COUNCIL

**File: ■ Thyroid Hormones  
■ Dietary Supplement Safety  
■ Hyperthyroid**

**HC 021465-501**

**Date: July 31, 2014**

**RE: Study Reveals Possible Adulteration of Thyroid Dietary Supplements;  
however, Study Contains Flaws that Undermine Its Results**

Kang GY, Parks JR, Fileta B, et al. Thyroxine and triiodothyronine content in commercially available thyroid health supplements. *Thyroid*. October 2013;23(10):1233-1237.

Incidences of hyperthyroidism or thyrotoxicosis (toxic level of thyroid hormones) have been found in people consuming dietary supplements marketed to address thyroid problems, increase energy, or promote weight loss. The concentrations of thyroid hormones contained in supplements is a legitimate safety concern, as consumers and their physicians cannot determine the thyroid hormone content based on label information. Thyroid hormone medication is classified as a narrow therapeutic range drug by the Food and Drug Administration (i.e., there is a very narrow margin between therapeutic and toxic doses), and therefore close monitoring of thyroid hormone blood levels is required. This screening study assessed the concentration of the thyroid hormones thyroxine ( $T_4$ ) and triiodothyronine ( $T_3$ ) present in ten dietary supplements.

An Internet search using the terms "thyroid health," "thyroid supplements," and "thyroid support" was conducted. The ten products identified as having the most commonly visited websites (the authors did not describe how this was determined) were selected for evaluation. Five of the products were herbal-based supplements (H) that did not have animal thyroid content reported on the label. The other five products were labeled as containing bovine thyroid tissue, concentrate, or powder (B).

The  $T_4$  and  $T_3$  content in the supplements was analyzed at the pharmacy of Walter Reed Army Medical Center in Bethesda, Maryland. The synthetic thyroid replacement hormones levothyroxine and liothyronine were used as positive controls and analytical standards. Three samples of each supplement were assayed, and the personnel conducting the testing were blinded to the identity of the samples. High-performance liquid chromatography coupled with a photodiode array detector (HPLC-PDA) set at 225 nm was used to quantify the hormone content; internal standards were used as a control for accuracy and precision, and five concentrations of standards were used to create external calibration curves, but the method was not properly validated. The identification

of the thyroid hormones was entirely based on the absorption at 225 nm, a wavelength where many natural products absorb UV light, and therefore could be mistaken for the thyroid hormones. Since some of the analyte peaks were so small that they were almost indistinguishable from the background noise, the results of this paper have to be considered speculative.

Based on these speculative results, of the ten supplements tested for thyroid hormone content, nine had  $T_3$  concentrations ranging from 1.3 to 25.4  $\mu\text{g}/\text{tablet}$ , and one had no detectable  $T_3$ . Taken at the manufacturer's recommended dose, four of the supplements (three H, one B) would provide  $>15 \mu\text{g}/\text{day}$  of  $T_3$ . (The lowest prescription dosage is five  $\mu\text{g}/\text{day}$ ; the maintenance dose for hypothyroidism is 25-75  $\mu\text{g}/\text{day}$ .)  $T_3$  is not a plant constituent; it should not have been present in the three "herbal" products. But since the identity of the analyte was not determined, it is doubtful that the compound was truly  $T_3$ .

Five of the supplements had no detectable  $T_4$  content; the other five had a  $T_4$  concentration ranging from  $<0.5$  to 22.9  $\mu\text{g}/\text{tablet}$  (three H, two B). If taken at the recommended dosage, the maximum daily consumption of  $T_4$  would be as much as  $>90 \mu\text{g}/\text{day}$  for one H supplement. (The lowest prescription dosage is 25  $\mu\text{g}/\text{day}$ ; the average dose for hypothyroidism is 100-125  $\mu\text{g}/\text{day}$  for a 70-kg adult.)  $T_4$  is not a plant constituent; it should not have been present in the three "herbal" products, which again raises the question if the analyte was indeed  $T_4$ .

Five supplements (three H, two B) had detectable concentrations of both  $T_3$  and  $T_4$ . Taken at the manufacturer's recommended dose, theoretically, the H product with the greatest  $T_3$  and  $T_4$  content would provide 16.53 and 91.6  $\mu\text{g}/\text{day}$ , respectively.

Of the five supplements labeled as containing bovine thyroid tissue, extract, or concentrate, one had no detectable  $T_3$  or  $T_4$ , two contained  $T_3$  only, and two had detectable concentrations of both  $T_3$  and  $T_4$ . Taken in order, these findings indicate that one product was falsely labeled (did not contain bovine thyroid), two products were adulterated with synthetic  $T_3$  (authentic animal material would contain both  $T_3$  and  $T_4$ ), and two products actually contained  $T_3$  and  $T_4$  (synthetic hormones or thyroid tissue/extract/concentrate).

In the five supplements that were described as containing botanical ingredients but no animal thyroid content, 150-1000 mg of L-tyrosine (recommended daily allowance [RDA] 231 mg/day) and 100-240  $\mu\text{g}$  of iodine (RDA 150  $\mu\text{g}/\text{day}$ ) were detected. Taken as recommended, these supplements would provide two to four times the RDA.

All five of the "herbal" supplements that had no animal thyroid ingredients listed on their label contained  $T_3$ , and two also contained  $T_4$ . The authors state, "The presence of  $T_3$  alone in some of the samples would appear to implicate selective addition of this hormone to the product, as those containing a desiccated animal source would be expected to have both  $T_4$  and  $T_3$  present." Again, since the detection method was non-specific for the thyroid hormones, the identity of the peaks eluting at the same time as the  $T_3$  and  $T_4$  standards remains unknown. Most likely, these compounds were components of the botanical extracts present in the products rather than  $T_3$  and  $T_4$ .

The evidence from this study focuses attention on two important public health issues. If present, clinically significant amounts of  $T_3$  and  $T_4$  in these readily available dietary supplements would constitute a potential medical hazard that may cause

hyperthyroidism in unwitting consumers. The authors call for more widespread education on the potential risks of these supplements and more rigorous regulation.

The second fact is that the chemical analysis indicated that most of the products assessed in this study were adulterated or had false label claims. Only two of the five products purporting to contain "bovine thyroid" actually contained both T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub>, and all five of the purported "herbal" products contained T<sub>3</sub>.

The third fact is that all the results were based on inadequate science. It is unfortunate that the authors used a method that carries a high risk of providing erroneous results and therefore are making conclusions that will misinform health care professionals and the dietary supplement industry. The authors' attempts to raise awareness of a possibly serious problem in this case have failed due to the use of an inappropriate analytical method.

This study was limited in that the sample size was small and potentially biased, and most importantly, the identity of the products analyzed (manufacturer, lot, batch number) was not reported so it cannot be reproduced.

—Amy C. Keller, PhD

Referenced article provided with permission from Mary Ann Liebert, Inc., 2 Madison Ave., Larchmont, NY 10438; Telephone (914)834-3100; Fax (914)834-3582; email: info@liebert.com.

---

The American Botanical Council provides this review as an educational service. By providing this service, ABC does not warrant that the data is accurate and correct, nor does distribution of the article constitute any endorsement of the information contained or of the views of the authors.

ABC does not authorize the copying or use of the original articles. Reproduction of the reviews is allowed on a limited basis for students, colleagues, employees and/or members. Other uses and distribution require prior approval from ABC.